

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/919,891	Applicant(s) Bathe et al.
	Examiner Christian L. Fronda	Art Unit 1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian L. Fronda *Christian L. Fronda* (3) _____
 (2) Vincent Shier *V.S.* (4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 19, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9

Identification of prior art discussed:

NONE

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed proposed amendments to the claims to overcome 112 1st paragraph rejections for enablement and written description and 112 2nd paragraph for indefiniteness. The finality of the ~~the~~ restriction requirement stated in the Office Action dated 3/27/02 (Paper No. 12) has been withdrawn in light of errors in the restriction requirement in the Office Action dated 2/17/02 (Paper No. 9). A new Office Action will be issued. The current Office Action dated 3/27/02 (Paper No. 12) has been withdrawn and no response from Applicant is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/919,891	Applicant(s) Bathe et al.
	Examiner Christian L. Fronda	Art Unit 1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian L. Fronda

(3) _____

(2) Vincent Shier

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 19, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required